

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/020,594	12/13/2001	Jurgen Schredl	70408	7149
7590 08/02/2004 McCLEW AND THEFT F			EXAMINER	
McGLEW AND TUTTLE John James McGlew			TRAN, LEN	
Scarborough Station Scarborough, NY 10510-0827			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>).</u>			
Office Action Summary		10/020,594	SCHREDL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Len Tran	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of 1 - If the period for reply specified above is less tha 1 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma 1 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.130 this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply a sximum statutory period d for reply will, by statute, o e months after the mailing of	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <i>01 Jul</i>	w 2004					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .		<u>y 2004</u> . action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
<u> </u>	n the application						
 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	IS/AIC WILLIGIAWI	THOM consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	•						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	d to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to		election requirement.					
Application Papers		·					
<u>_</u>							
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.	4-11 \ 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
10) The drawing(s) filed on i	is/are: a)∟ accep	oted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in	rluding the correction	awing(s) be held in abeyance. Seen is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is object	cted to by the Exar	miner Note the attached Office	Jected to, See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
		milot. Hote the attached emoc	Action of form 7 TO-192.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None							
2 Certified copies of the pr	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3 Conies of the certified or	TOTILY GOCUMENTS F	nave been received in Application	on No				
 Copies of the certified co application from the Inter 	rnational Bureau (I	/ documents have been receive DCT Dula 17 2/a\\	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office			и ч				
		the destined depice flot receive	u.				
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 	ious (DTO 040)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/020,594

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-9, 12-15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leicht et al(US 5,551,627), and further in view of Gotman (US 4,404,453).

Leitcht et al disclose the process for producing a contact structure for connecting two substrates comprising the steps of applying solder material to terminal to form spacing metallizations, and bonding the first substrate to the second substrate, wherein the solder is a spherical shape (figure 3, col. 4, lines 5-9). An adhesive compound is applied to the solder (col. 4, lines 40-51).

Application/Control Number: 10/020,594

Art Unit: 1725

Leicht et al fail to disclose partially fusing the solder with a laser energy.

However, Gotman discloses using laser energy to partially melt the solder (col. 3, lines 19-22) for the purpose of avoiding or minimizing any damage to the parts being attached together (col. 2, lines 35-40). In addition, Gotman discloses heating the solder (72) to become partially liquefied and then fusion takes place, in which during the bonding action (col. 4, lines 18-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a laser heating means to partially melt the solder as taught by Gotman, in Leicht et al in order to prevent any damage to the parts.

4. Claims 10, 11, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leicht et al (US 5,551,627), and further in view of Beddingfield et al (US 5,710,071).

Leicht et al disclose the claimed invention above in paragraph 3, but fail to teach filling the gap between the substrates with a filler material.

However, Beddingfield et al disclose applying a filler (encapsulant) material in the gap of the substrates for the purpose of expelling any trap air and to prevent the chip from warping (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have filler material in between the substrates as taught by Beddingfield et al, in Leicht et al in order to expel air and prevent warping.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,594

Art Unit: 1725

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on July 01, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Gotmann fails to teach partially melting the solder during the bonding action. However, examiner respectfully disagrees, since in column 4, lines 18-36, Gotmann discloses partially melting the solder and then fusion takes place. Therefore, the solder is partially melted during the bonding action.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/020,594

Art Unit: 1725

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran Examiner Art Unit 1725

LT July 27, 2004 Kiley Stoner A4 1725 My Stone 7/28/04